



Jaskaran Singh S/o Sh. Joginder Singh,  
Village – Bahmaniwala,  
Tehsil – Jalalabad,  
Distt. – Fazilka – 152024 (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Chairman,  
Market Committee,  
Jalalabad, Distt. – Fazilka(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The District Mandi Officer,  
Fazilka(Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 1881 of 2020**

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Rupinder Minhas, District Mandi Officer, Fazilka, in person.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.  
The RTI request is dated 24.01.2020. First appeal is dated Nil. Second appeal  
has been received in the Commission on 14.07.2020.

On the last date of hearing, held on 20.12.2021 ;

- i) The appellant was not present.
- ii) Sh. Rajesh Kumar, Mandi Supervisor, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant.
- iii) As the respondent PIO had not sent any document, showing that the requisite information (after removing the deficiencies, which were pointed out by the appellant through letter dated 18.06.2021), was supplied to the appellant, hence, an opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to send a copy of copy of forwarding letter with a copy of the postal receipt to the Commission, showing that information was sent to the appellant.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information or it would be assumed that the appellant has received the required information and the case would be decided on merits.

Sh. Rupinder Minhas, District Mandi Officer, Fazilka, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and he has not pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him till date.

The appellant, Sh. Jaskaran Singh, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 20.12.2021. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant has received the required information and is satisfied with the same, hence, the show-cause issued to the concerned respondent PIO vide orders dated 18.10.2021 is dropped the case is announced as disposed of and closed.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :21<sup>st</sup> March, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Rajesh Kumar ,  
Ward No. 5, Near Hind Hospital,  
Ahmedgarh, Distt. - Sangrur (Punjab)

...Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Secretary,  
Regional Transport Authority,  
Sangrur (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Complaint Case No. 369 of 2019**

Present : None on behalf of the complainant.  
Sh. Ravinder Sharma, Junior Assistant, on behalf of the respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.  
The RTI request is dated 24.01.2019. The complaint has been received in the  
Commission on 10.04.2019.

- On the last date of hearing, held on 20.12.2021 ;
- i) Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill, Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Sangrur, stated that the requisite information was supplied to the complainant .
  - ii) The complainant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar was not present.
  - iii) An opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to send the requisite information again to the complainant through e-mail or registered post with a copy to Commission.
  - iv) An opportunity was given to the complainant to confirm whether he received the information) or not and also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO through registered post well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Sh. Ravinder Sharma, Junior Assistant, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the complainant and he has not pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him till date.

The complainant, Sh. Rajesh Kumar is not present in today's hearing and through a letter dated 21.03.2022, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail, he has intimated the Commission that incomplete information has been given to him by the respondent PIO. He also intimated the Commission that he is unable to attend today's hearing and requested for an adjournment in this case. It is taken on record.

As the complainant through letter dated 21.03.2022, intimated that incomplete information has been supplied by the respondent PIO but not pointed out the any deficiency/deficiencies neither to the respondent nor to the Commission.

Accordingly, if any information is pending, the Commission could not allow access information to the Information seeker in a complaint case as the only remedy available to the information-seeker is under Section 19 of the RTI Act and he (the complainant) can approach the First Appellate Authority by way of first appeal.

With this, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :21<sup>st</sup> March, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

Note : Install → CISCO WEBEX Meetings → Click on Join Meeting → Enter Meeting Code ID No. '1589136034'

Ravi Kant S/o Sh. Sansari Lal,  
Krishan Bazaar, Ward No. 04,  
Dhariwal, Distt. - Gurdaspur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

- i) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Local Government,  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,  
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh
- ii) Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Examiner ,  
Local Fund Accounts,  
O/o Local Government Punjab,  
Sector 9, Pb. Mini Secretariat,  
Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Director,  
Deptt. of Local Government,  
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,  
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

...Respondents

### Appeal Case No. 654 of 2021

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.  
Sh. Karan Singh, Deputy Director O/o Examiner, Local Fund & Accounts, in person.

#### ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.  
The RTI request is dated 19.11.2020. First appeal is dated 27.11.2020. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 25.01.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 20.12.2021 ;

- i) Sh. Rahul, Section officer(Accounts Branch) of office of Director, Local Government, Punjab, stated that available information was supplied to the appellant and information at point no. 5 of the RTI request relates with office of Examiner, Local Fund Accounts office of Local Government Punjab, Chandigarh.
- ii) Sh. Prem Sagar, Deputy Director of Examiner, Local Fund Accounts, stated that a point-wise reply was sent to the appellant through registered post.
- iii) The respondent PIO of Accounts Branch of office of Director, Local Government, Punjab, was directed to remain present in person or represent this case through his representative on the next date fixed so that facts of this case could be cleared.
- iv) The appellant, Sh. Ravi Kant was not present and an opportunity was given to him to confirm whether he received the information and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO.

Sh. Karan Singh, Deputy Director office of Examiner, Local Fund and Accounts, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the remaining information at point no. 5 of the RTI request has already been supplied to the appellant vide letter no. 3066 dated 01.12.2021 through registered post. He also intimates the Commission that a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 3066 dated 01.12.2021, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 27004 dated 02.12.2021. It, alongwith a copy of supplied information, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Ravi Kant, was absent on the last date of hearing, held on 20.12.2021. He is again absent from today's hearing without any intimation to the Commission. He has neither pointed out any deficiency in the information supplied to him, to the respondent-PIO, nor approached the Commission with any contrary claim in that regard.

In view of the above, it is assumed that the appellant has received the required information and is satisfied with the same, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed.**

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :21<sup>st</sup> March, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab

**Note : Install → CISCO WEBEX Meetings → Click on Join Meeting → Enter Meeting Code ID No. '1589136034'**



Prem Kumar Rattan,  
H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,  
Dhuri, Distt. – Sangrur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,  
O/o The Sr. Supdt. of Police,  
Barnala (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,  
O/o The Inspector General of Police,  
Patiala Range, Patiala (Punjab)

...Respondents

### **Appeal Case No. 1401 of 2021**

Present : Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan, the appellant in person.  
i) Sh. Ravinder Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barnala (The then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tapa) ;  
ii) Sh. Amanpreet Singh, Senior Constable O/o D. S. P., Tapa, for respondent.

#### **ORDER**

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.  
The RTI request is dated 04.12.2020. First appeal is dated 03.01.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 16.03.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 22.12.2021 ;

- i) Sh. Tarsem Singh Reader to Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tapa, stated that Deputy Superintendent of Police(D), Barnala sent a submission vide letter no. 1478 dated 04.12.2021, intimating that the appellant never visited to him personally to file any document/complaint.
- ii) The respondent PIO concerned was directed to send the above-mentioned reply (given vide letter no.1478 dated 04.12.2021) to the appellant through registered post or e-mail.
- iii) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the reply.

Sh. Amanpreet Singh, Senior Constable office of D. S. P., Tapa, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that a reply has been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 96 dated 02.03.2022, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 4294 dated 03.03.2022, intimating that Deputy Superintendent of Police(D), Barnala has sent a submission vide letter no. 1478 dated 04.12.2021, intimating that during his posting as D. S. P. in Sub-Division – Tapa, the appellant Sh. Prem Rattan never visited to him personally to file any document/complaint. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

Sh. Ravinder Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Barnala (The then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Tapa) ; who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that during his posting as D. S. P. in Sub-Division – Tapa, Sh. Prem Rattan never visited to him personally to file any document/complaint.

The appellant, Sh. Prem Rattan, who appeared in person in today's hearing, expresses his dissatisfaction over the same.

After going over the queries raised by the appellant through his RTI request and the response given by the respondent PIO concerned and finding it satisfactory, no cause of action is left in this case, hence, the case is announced as **disposed of and closed**.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :21<sup>st</sup> March, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)  
State Information Commissioner  
Punjab